

Sh.Jasbir Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

Versus

... Appellant

## Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Principal Secretary,

Local Govt, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant None for the Respondent

# ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.06.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 22.05.2020 from the office of Hon'ble Governor of Punjab which was transferred by the office of Governor Punjab to Principal Secretary Local Govt. Punjab on 01.07.2020 under section 6(3) of the RTI Act. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

Appeal Case No. 3379 of 2020

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The PIO is directed to provide the information (whatever action has been taken on the complainant of the appellant dated 22.05.2020) to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Ashok Kapoor, # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana.

**Public Information Officer,** Police Station, Village Ladowal, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No. 1286 of 2018

Versus

## Present: Sh.Ashok Kapoor as the Appellant. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI for the respondent

# ORDER:

This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.12.2017 sought information –copies of (reports of DDRS) of ravangi and wapsi of execution of Dakhal Warrant of Khasra No.10//16 and 10//25 of village Ladowal in partition suit of Ashok Kumar & others vs Seema Rani on 23.10.2017 in police protection in response to undated letter of Tehsildar(W) addressed to Kanugoo Halka Bagga & Sunet and copies under ref no.691-700 dated 11.10.2017 alongwith complete file of correspondence, from the Ladowal Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.02.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has already been heard on 13.06.2018, 30.07.2018, 25.10.2018, 03.12.2018, 13.02.2019, 03.04.2019, 22.05.2019, 25.07.2019, 29.10.2019, 14.01.2020, 03.06.2020, 22.07.2020.

On the date of hearing on 03.04.2019, it was observed there has been an enormous delay in providing the information and the PIO was not complying with the order of the Commission In the present case as well as in appeal cases No.1295/2018, 1296/2018, 1297/2018 & 1298/2018 (since the appellant is same and the information sought is similar with different dates), all five cases were clubbed together for the purpose of considering appropriate action against the PIO. The Commission held the PIO-Police Station Ladowal guilty for not providing the information on time and imposed a penalty of **Rs.25000/- upon Sh.Varindber Pal Singh**, the then PIO-Police Station Ladowal ( who was transferred to Barnala), which the PIO deposited in the Govt Treasury and submitted a copy of challan (having deposited the same in Govt. Treasury) to the Commission on 22.05.2019.

On the date of hearing on 22.05.2019, the respondent brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The present PIO was directed to bring the record pertaining to the RTI application, to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on 03.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant via speed post. The appellant claimed that the information which was sent via speed post relates to another appeal case No.2063 and the PIO has not provided the information in the present case.

... Appellant

The PIO was directed to send complete reply and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available in the record, to give detailed reply on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to bring original record pertaining to the RTI application to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **22.07.2020**, the respondent supplied affidavit to the appellant as per last order. The appellant however, stated that what the respondent is stating, is not the fact finding since as per the document procured by the appellant from the Tehsildar through RTI, it is clear that the tehsildar had sent this document to the police vice-versa.

The appellant was asked to place the discrepancies case-wise to the Commission as well as to the PIO. The respondent was directed to relook and provide the information. If need be, to revise the affidavit.

### Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI is present and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant and no further information is available in the record.

The PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever information has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record relating to the RTI application. The affidavit will be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Ashok Kapoor, # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana

Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

Police Station, ladowal, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No. 1295 of 2018

# Present: Sh.Ashok Kapoor as the Appellant. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI for the respondent

**ORDER:** This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.12.2017 sought information –copies of (reports of DDRS) of ravangi and wapsi of execution of Dakhal Warrant of Khasra No.10//16 and 10//25 of village Ladowal in partition suit of Ashok Kumar & others vs Seema Rani on 23.10.2017 in police protection in response to letter no.600 dated 08.06.2017 of Sh.Sukhminder Singh Kanugoo circle Bagga Khurd to SHO Ladowal alongwith complete file of correspondence from the Ladowal Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.02.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has already been heard on 13.06.2018, 30.07.2018, 25.10.2018, 03.12.2018, 13.02.2019, 03.04.2019, 22.05.2019, 25.07.2019, 29.10.2019, 14.01.2020, 03.06.2020, 22.07.2020.

On the date of hearing on 03.04.2019, the PIO was absent nor had filed any reply to the show cause notice. Sh.Umesh Kumar, HC representing the PIO brought nothing. The Commission found it a fit case to invoke section 20 of the RTI Act and impose a penalty on the PIO. However, since the appellant being the same in this case and appeal cases No.1295/2018, 1296/2018, 1297/2018 & 1298/2018 (since the information sought is similar with different dates), all five cases were clubbed together for the purpose of considering appropriate action against the PIO and Sh.Varinder Pal Singh, then PIO(promoted & posted at Barnala) had been penalized. The new PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act.

On the date of hearing on 22.05.2019, the respondent brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The present PIO was directed to bring the record pertaining to the RTI application, to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on 03.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant via speed post. The appellant claimed that the information which was sent via speed post relates to another appeal case No.2063 and the PIO has not provided the information in the present case.

The PIO was directed to send a complete reply and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available in the record, to give a detailed reply on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to bring the original record pertaining to the RTI application to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **22.07.2020**, the respondent supplied an affidavit to the appellant as per last order. The appellant however, stated that what the respondent is stating, is not the fact since as per the document procured by the appellant from the Tehsildar through RTI, it is clear that the tehsildar had sent this document to the police vice-versa.

The appellant was asked to place the discrepancies case-wise to the Commission as well as to the PIO. The respondent was directed to relook and provide the information. If need be, to revise the affidavit.

#### Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI is present and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant and no further information is available in the record.

The PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever the information has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record relating to the RTI application. The affidavit will be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Ashok Kapoor, # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana

Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

Police Station, ladowal, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No. 1296 of 2018

# Present: Sh.Ashok Kapoor as the Appellant. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI for the respondent

**ORDER:** This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.12.2017 sought information –copies of (reports of DDRS) of ravangi and wapsi of execution of Dakhal Warrant of Khasra No.10//16 and 10//25 of village Ladowal in partition suit of Ashok Kumar & others vs Seema Rani on 03.07.2017 in police protection in response to dated 29.06.2017 of Sh.Sukhminder Singh Kanugo circle Bagga Khurd to SHO Ladowal along with complete file of correspondence from the Ladowal Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.02.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has already been heard on 13.06.2018, 30.07.2018, 25.10.2018, 03.12.2018, 13.02.2019, 03.04.2019, 22.05.2019, 25.07.2019, 29.10.2019, 14.01.2020, 03.06.2020, 22.07.2020.

On the date of hearing on 03.04.2019, the PIO was absent nor had filed any reply to the show cause notice. Sh.Umesh Kumar, HC representing the PIO brought nothing. The Commission found it a fit case to invoke section 20 of the RTI Act and impose a penalty on the PIO. However, since the appellant being the same in this case and appeal cases No.1295/2018, 1296/2018, 1297/2018 & 1298/2018 (since the information sought is similar with different dates), all five cases were clubbed together for the purpose of considering appropriate action against the PIO and Sh.Varinder Pal Singh, then PIO(promoted & posted at Barnala) had been penalized. The new PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act.

On the date of hearing on 22.05.2019, the respondent brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The present PIO was directed to bring the record pertaining to the RTI application, to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on 03.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant via speed post. The appellant claimed that the information which was sent via speed post relates to another appeal case No.2063 and the PIO has not provided the information in the present case.

The PIO was directed to send a complete reply and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available in the record, to give detailed reply on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to bring the original record pertaining to the RTI application to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **22.07.2020**, the respondent supplied affidavit to the appellant as per last order. The appellant has however, stated that what the respondent is stating, is not the fact finding since as per the document procured by the appellant from the Tehsildar through RTI, it is clear that the tehsildar had sent this document to the police vice-versa.

The appellant was asked to place the discrepancies case-wise to the Commission as well as to the PIO. The respondent was directed to relook and provide the information. If need be, to revise the affidavit.

#### Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI is present and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant and no further information is available in the record.

The PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever the information has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record relating to the RTI application. The affidavit will be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Ashok Kapoor, # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana

Appellant.

...Respondent

Versus

# Public Information Officer,

Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana.

# First Appellate Authority,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No. 1297 of 2018

## Present: Sh.Ashok Kapoor as the Appellant. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI for the respondent

**ORDER:** This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The appellant through RTI application dated 29.12.2017 sought information –copies of (reports of DDRS) of ravangi and wapsi of execution of Dakhal Warrant of Khasra No.10//16 and 10//25 of village Ladowal in partition suit of Ashok Kumar & others vs Seema Rani on 19.12.2017 in police protection in response to letter No.799 dated 28.11.2017 Kanugoo Halka Sunet and copies under ref No.800-810/reader dated 28.11.2017 to SHO Ladowal alongwith complete file of correspondence from the Ladowal Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.02.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has already been heard on 13.06.2018, 30.07.2018, 25.10.2018, 03.12.2018, 13.02.2019, 03.04.2019, 22.05.2019, 25.07.2019, 29.10.2019, 14.01.2020, 03.06.2020, 22.07.2020.

On the date of hearing on 03.04.2019, the PIO was absent nor had filed any reply to the show cause notice. Sh.Umesh Kumar, HC representing the PIO brought nothing. The Commission found it a fit case to invoke section 20 of the RTI Act and impose a penalty on the PIO. However, since the appellant being the same in this case and appeal cases No.1295/2018, 1296/2018, 1297/2018 & 1298/2018 (since the information sought is similar with different dates), all five cases were clubbed together for the purpose of considering appropriate action against the PIO and Sh.Varinder Pal Singh, then PIO(promoted & posted at Barnala) had been penalized. The new PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act.

On the date of hearing on 22.05.2019, the respondent brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The present PIO was directed to bring the record pertaining to the RTI application, to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on 03.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant via speed post. The appellant claimed that the information which was sent via speed post relates to another appeal case No.2063 and the PIO has not provided the information in the present case.

## Appeal Case No. 1297 of 2018

The PIO was directed to send a complete reply and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available in the record, to give detailed reply on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to bring the original record pertaining to the RTI application to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **22.07.2020**, the respondent had supplied affidavit to the appellant as per last order. The appellant has however, stated that what the respondent is stating, is not the fact since as per the document procured by the appellant from the Tehsildar through RTI, it is clear that the tehsildar had sent this document to the police vice-versa.

The appellant was asked to place the discrepancies case-wise to the Commission as well as to the PIO. The respondent was directed to relook and provide the information. If need be, to revise the affidavit.

### Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI is present and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant and no further information is available in the record.

The PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever the information has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record relating to the RTI application. The affidavit will be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Ashok Kapoor, # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer,

Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana.

# First Appellate Authority,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

### Appeal Case No. 1298 of 2018

## Present: Sh.Ashok Kapoor as the Appellant. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI for the respondent

**ORDER:** This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The appellant through RTI application dated 28.12.2017 sought information –copies of (reports of DDRS) of ravangi and wapsi of execution of Dakhal Warrant of Khasra No.10//16 and 10//25 of village Ladowal in partition suit of Ashok Kumar & others vs Seema Rani on 11.10.2017 in police protection in response to letter No.639-40 dated 22.09.2017 of tehsildar to Kanugoo Halka Bagga 7 Sunet and copies under ref No.641-650/reader dated 22.09.2017 to SHO Ladowal alongwith complete file of correspondence from the Ladowal Police Station, Ladowal, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.02.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has already been heard on 13.06.2018, 30.07.2018, 25.10.2018, 03.12.2018, 13.02.2019, 03.04.2019, 22.05.2019, 25.07.2019, 29.10.2019, 14.01.2020, 03.06.2020, 22.07.2020.

On the date of hearing on 03.04.2019, the PIO was absent nor had filed any reply to the show cause notice. Sh.Umesh Kumar, HC representing the PIO brought nothing. The Commission found it a fit case to invoke section 20 of the RTI Act and impose a penalty on the PIO. However, since the appellant being the same in this case and appeal cases No.1295/2018, 1296/2018, 1297/2018 & 1298/2018 (since the information sought is similar with different dates), all five cases were clubbed together for the purpose of considering appropriate action against the PIO and Sh.Varinder Pal Singh, then PIO(promoted & posted at Barnala) had been penalized. The new PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act.

On the date of hearing on 22.05.2019, the respondent brought the information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. The present PIO was directed to bring the record pertaining to the RTI application, to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on 3.06.2020, the respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant via speed post. The appellant claimed that the information which was sent via speed post relates to another appeal case No.2063 and the PIO has not provided the information in the present case.

Appellant.

The PIO was directed to send complete reply and provide the information to the appellant. If the information is not available in the record, to give detailed reply on an affidavit. The PIO was also directed to bring original record pertaining to the RTI application to the Commission on the next date of hearing.

On the date of last hearing on **22.07.2020**, the respondent supplied affidavit to the appellant as per last order. The appellant however, stated that what the respondent is stating, is not the fact finding since as per the document procured by the appellant from the Tehsildar through RTI, it is clear that the tehsildar had sent this document to the police vice-versa.

The appellant was asked to place the discrepancies case-wise to the Commission as well as to the PIO. The respondent was directed to relook and provide the information. If need be, to revise the affidavit.

### Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Sh.Raj Kumar, ASI is present and informed that the available information has been supplied to the appellant and no further information is available in the record.

The PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever the information has been provided, is true, complete and no other information is available in the record relating to the RTI application. The affidavit will be provided within 15 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Sh. Rohit Sabharwal, Kundan Bhawan, 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana. Punjab of the Information Contraction

Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o EO, GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional, Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case .No. 4563 of 2019

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant None for the Respondent

# ORDER

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.08.2019 has sought information regarding details of action taken in respect of letter dated 03.07.2019 relating to notices issued to the violators and other information concerning the office of EO-GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.10.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 02.06.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent pleaded that they have already supplied the information to the appellant. The appellant was absent.

The PIO was directed to send written reply for delay in attending to the RTI application and also a copy of the information that had been provided to appellant. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to remove the discrepancies.

On the date of last hearing on **21.07.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that the information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letters dated 06.12.2019, 11.03.2020 and is being sent again today on 21.07.2020 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant was absent nor had communicated any discrepancies. The appellant was directed to appear before the Commission personally or through a representative on the next date of hearing to pursue his case or communicate the discrepancies if any, in writing.

...Appellant

# Hearing dated 27.01.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

On the date of hearing on 21.07.2020, the respondent pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant on 06.12.2019, 11.03.2020 and being sent again with a copy to the Commission. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to communicate the discrepancies if any and appear before the Commission to pursue his case.

The appellant is absent , nor has communicated any discrepancies.

It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 27.01.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner